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## Document Objective

This document describes the methodology and sources used in calculating a more current and accurate "Take Rate" for broadband in the United States, resulting in an increase over previous calculations.

## Background

Thanks to funding through a variety of sources - the American Reinvestment and Recovery Act (ARRA), Broadband Stimulus under the BTOP, and BIP programs offered by the NTIA and USDA Rural Utilities Service (RUS) programs - much attention has been focused on broadband penetration, take rates and adoption rates in the United States. Recent round-one RUS program applications required broadband details, but a lack of information has limited both the availability of comprehensive data and overall study of the issue.

For years, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has collected data from broadband Internet providers using their Form 477. This information indicates the number of customers, broadband speeds, pricing and whether customers are residential or business class. Data had been tabulated at the Zip ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ code level, but the collection process was recently modified to provide results at the Census Tract level instead.

Given access to this comprehensive database of information, it would be possible to determine broadband availability to a reasonable level of geographic accuracy. Unfortunately, access on a granular level outside of the FCC is not permitted, due in large part to agreements struck with the carriers to ensure their most important data assets would be protected from disclosure to competitors.

## Existing Resources

Each year, the FCC releases a report To Congress called "The State of Broadband in the US." The information in this report is provided at a state level, and has been used to tabulate broadband penetration rates. The calculation is determined by dividing the total number of reported residential subscriber lines by the total households reported 2 or the same time period in each state, resulting in a take rate for the state as a whole.

While this approach provides good directional information at macro levels, it does not provide the much-needed broadband penetration rates required for analysis of only the areas where broadband services are deployed.

In August of 2009, Brian Webster Consulting teamed with data provider Gadberry Group to design and prototype a method that would provide near address-level precision for broadband consumption and take rates. In the paragraphs that follow, we will describe what we believe to be the most accurate method possible to quantify take rates at micro levels of geography.
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## Data Sources

Three sources of data were used as primary information for the take rate model:

- FCC Report to Congress "High Speed Services for Internet Access: Status as of June 30, 2008"
- Census Bureau Annual Estimate of Housing Units for Counties
- Gadberry's Broadband Served Indicator Data


## FCC Data

Each year, the FCC releases a report So Congress called "The State of Broadband in the US." The information in this report is provided at a state-level only.

## Census Data

The Population Estimates Program publishes total resident population estimates and demographic components of change (births, deaths and migration) each year. It also publishes estimates by demographic characteristics (age, sex, race and Hispanic origin) for the nation, individual states and counties.

In addition to the resident population universe, the census bureau also produces population estimates for these universes: resident plus armed forces overseas, civilian, civilian non-institutionalized at the national level, and civilian at the state level. The reference date for estimates is July 1. Estimates usually are for the present and the past, while brojections are estimates of the population for future dates.

The program develops these estimates with the assistance of the Federal State Cooperative Program for Population Estimates (FSCPE). These estimates are used in federal funding allocations, as denominators for vital rates and per capita time series, as survey controls, and in monitoring recent demographic changes. With each new issue of July 1 estimates, revisions are made to estimates for years back to the last census. Previously published estimates are superseded and archived.

The Population Estimates are also available on American Factfinder

## Broadband Indicator Data

Gadberry's Broadband Served Indicator Data provides demographic data specifically designed to satisfy the requirements of the Broadband Initiative Program, as a part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.
The Broadband Indicator is created using self-reported consumer information including Internet registrations, survey cards, online surveys, registrations and marketing solicitations data. The source data is compiled monthly by the provider, and the Broadband Indicator is constructed quarterly. The current sample size is over 20 million household records containing information indicating broadband use.
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## Take Rate Methodology

We began by quantifying the total number of households with access to broadband services. Using the broadband in-use data described above, census blocks with reported active broadband subscribers were identified, as well as the number of occupied household units in each block for 2008. When totaled, the number of households in these census blocks provided the number of homes passed by broadband services. There were no efforts to determine the type of technology, pricing or speed available.

Armed with this information, the number of active broadband residential lines for each state (as per the FCC report) was divided by the total households in the active $B B$ census blocks. The result is an accurate penetration rate in the areas where broadband services are known to be available, as well as the census blocks where broadband is unavailable. Subtracting the total households with active broadband available from the total households for the state gave the final result of homes without access to broadband.

> "Subtracting the total households with active broadband available from the total households for the state gave the final result of homes without access to broadband."

While most will agree that many states have large geographic areas with no access to broadband services, examining the data in the table below reveals that the percentage of households without access is smaller than many estimated. Much of this variance is due to sociological behaviors and patterns of settlement over time.

The census block, from a geographic standpoint, will vary in size based on population (and subsequently households). In sparsely populated areas, a census block may contain a large land area but represent very few households. In a metropolitan area, on the other hand, a census block may be no larger than a city block but include many homes and/or multi-family dwelling units. So, even though it may appear on a map that large areas of a state lack access to broadband, the number and percentage of households might be small in comparison to the land area.


Figure 1: Arizona Broadband Classified Census Blocks
The image above for the state of Arizona shows a large amount of land area without reported broadband use. Yet, Arizona has a $75.13 \%$ adoption rate where broadband services are available. The take rate averaged over the whole state is $57.86 \%$. Only $22.99 \%$ of the homes statewide do not have access to broadband.


Figure 2: Arkansas Broadband Classified Census Blocks

| State | Homes July 2008 | $2008$ <br> Broadband Res Lines | 2008 Res Take Rate Statewide | Households with BB Available | Take Rate Where BB is Available | Difference Available to Statewide | Number of Homes Without Access to BB | \% Homes without BB Access |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AK | 283,357 | 156,793 | 55.33\% | 175,379 | 89.40\% | 34.07\% | 107,978 | 38.11\% |
| AL | 2,158,576 | 909,945 | 42.15\% | 1,633,780 | 55.70\% | 13.54\% | 524,796 | 24.31\% |
| AR | 1,298,137 | 612,182 | 47.16\% | 927,961 | 65.97\% | 18.81\% | 370,176 | 28.52\% |
| AZ | 2,722,725 | 1,575,252 | 57.86\% | 2,096,738 | 75.13\% | 17.27\% | 625,987 | 22.99\% |
| CA | 13,393,878 | 10,406,479 | 77.70\% | 12,018,850 | 86.58\% | 8.89\% | 1,375,028 | 10.27\% |
| CO | 2,152,040 | 1,315,361 | 61.12\% | 1,743,132 | 75.46\% | 14.34\% | 408,908 | 19.00\% |
| CT | 1,443,115 | 1,135,798 | 78.70\% | 1,360,979 | 83.45\% | 4.75\% | 82,136 | 5.69\% |
| DC | 285,353 | 191,505 | 67.11\% | 243,435 | 78.67\% | 11.56\% | 41,918 | 14.69\% |
| DE | 392,965 | 240,153 | 61.11\% | 320,355 | 74.96\% | 13.85\% | 72,610 | 18.48\% |
| FL | 8,800,294 | 5,425,497 | 61.65\% | 7,120,733 | 76.19\% | 14.54\% | 1,679,561 | 19.09\% |
| GA | 4,026,082 | 2,402,283 | 59.67\% | 3,263,180 | 73.62\% | 13.95\% | 762,902 | 18.95\% |
| HI | 512,881 | 378,477 | 73.79\% | 394,369 | 95.97\% | 22.18\% | 118,512 | 23.11\% |
| IA | 1,329,352 | 632,294 | 47.56\% | 979,854 | 64.53\% | 16.97\% | 349,498 | 26.29\% |
| ID | 641,479 | 343,184 | 53.50\% | 454,827 | 75.45\% | 21.95\% | 186,652 | 29.10\% |
| IL | 5,276,979 | 3,471,815 | 65.79\% | 4,383,916 | 79.19\% | 13.40\% | 893,063 | 16.92\% |
| IN | 2,795,024 | 1,274,862 | 45.61\% | 2,207,438 | 57.75\% | 12.14\% | 587,586 | 21.02\% |
| KS | 1,226,859 | 721,808 | 58.83\% | 922,683 | 78.23\% | 19.40\% | 304,176 | 24.79\% |
| KY | 1,920,581 | 932,158 | 48.54\% | 1,531,031 | 60.88\% | 12.35\% | 389,550 | 20.28\% |
| LA | 1,883,167 | 1,111,304 | 59.01\% | 1,585,612 | 70.09\% | 11.07\% | 297,555 | 15.80\% |
| MA | 2,735,443 | 1,946,046 | 71.14\% | 2,491,976 | 78.09\% | 6.95\% | 243,467 | 8.90\% |
| MD | 2,333,064 | 1,767,213 | 75.75\% | 2,097,156 | 84.27\% | 8.52\% | 235,908 | 10.11\% |
| ME | 700,480 | 309,458 | 44.18\% | 463,399 | 66.78\% | 22.60\% | 237,081 | 33.85\% |
| MI | 4,535,323 | 2,262,822 | 49.89\% | 3,664,400 | 61.75\% | 11.86\% | 870,923 | 19.20\% |
| MN | 2,331,619 | 1,288,882 | 55.28\% | 1,811,539 | 71.15\% | 15.87\% | 520,080 | 22.31\% |
| MO | 2,663,977 | 1,496,075 | 56.16\% | 2,010,489 | 74.41\% | 18.25\% | 653,488 | 24.53\% |
| MS | 1,267,231 | 435,193 | 34.34\% | 931,606 | 46.71\% | 12.37\% | 335,625 | 26.48\% |
| MT | 438,282 | 198,534 | 45.30\% | 269,742 | 73.60\% | 28.30\% | 168,540 | 38.45\% |
| NC | 4,201,378 | 2,280,220 | 54.27\% | 3,386,502 | 67.33\% | 13.06\% | 814,876 | 19.40\% |
| ND | 313,332 | 145,593 | 46.47\% | 188,651 | 77.18\% | 30.71\% | 124,681 | 39.79\% |
| NE | 786,334 | 431,124 | 54.83\% | 562,337 | 76.67\% | 21.84\% | 223,997 | 28.49\% |
| NH | 597,129 | 363,328 | 60.85\% | 471,599 | 77.04\% | 16.20\% | 125,530 | 21.02\% |
| NJ | 3,517,293 | 2,716,982 | 77.25\% | 3,133,802 | 86.70\% | 9.45\% | 383,491 | 10.90\% |
| NM | 871,700 | 374,043 | 42.91\% | 564,196 | 66.30\% | 23.39\% | 307,504 | 35.28\% |
| NV | 1,127,061 | 780,141 | 69.22\% | 915,596 | 85.21\% | 15.99\% | 211,465 | 18.76\% |
| NY | 7,977,286 | 5,470,914 | 68.58\% | 6,988,378 | 78.29\% | 9.70\% | 988,908 | 12.40\% |
| OH | 5,079,873 | 2,838,688 | 55.88\% | 4,391,866 | 64.64\% | 8.75\% | 688,007 | 13.54\% |
| OK | 1,637,138 | 880,666 | 53.79\% | 1,154,522 | 76.28\% | 22.49\% | 482,616 | 29.48\% |
| OR | 1,628,826 | 1,081,837 | 66.42\% | 1,331,670 | 81.24\% | 14.82\% | 297,156 | 18.24\% |
| $P A$ | 5,496,336 | 3,097,119 | 56.35\% | 4,563,812 | 67.86\% | 11.51\% | 932,524 | 16.97\% |
| RI | 451,753 | 297,643 | 65.89\% | 411,553 | 72.32\% | 6.44\% | 40,200 | 8.90\% |
| SC | 2,056,127 | 942,688 | 45.85\% | 1,578,466 | 59.72\% | 13.87\% | 477,661 | 23.23\% |
| SD | 361,482 | 170,380 | 47.13\% | 227,352 | 74.94\% | 27.81\% | 134,130 | 37.11\% |
| TN | 2,758,171 | 1,346,820 | 48.83\% | 2,327,985 | 57.85\% | 9.02\% | 430,186 | 15.60\% |
| TX | 9,598,579 | 6,198,779 | 64.58\% | 7,845,124 | 79.01\% | 14.43\% | 1,753,455 | 18.27\% |
| UT | 944,347 | 552,567 | 58.51\% | 774,276 | 71.37\% | 12.85\% | 170,071 | 18.01\% |
| VA | 3,306,389 | 1,900,624 | 57.48\% | 2,815,194 | 67.51\% | 10.03\% | 491,195 | 14.86\% |
| VT | 312,617 | 136,780 | 43.75\% | 205,400 | 66.59\% | 22.84\% | 107,217 | 34.30\% |
| WA | 2,791,597 | 1,783,539 | 63.89\% | 2,344,684 | 76.07\% | 12.18\% | 446,913 | 16.01\% |
| WI | 2,569,430 | 1,384,836 | 53.90\% | 2,041,611 | 67.83\% | 13.93\% | 527,819 | 20.54\% |
| WV | 886,430 | 314,072 | 35.43\% | 471,193 | 66.65\% | 31.22\% | 415,237 | 46.84\% |
| WY | 246,393 | 116,661 | 47.35\% | 146,697 | 79.53\% | 32.18\% | 99,696 | 40.46\% |
| Totals | 129,065,264 | 78,547,417 | 60.86\% | 105,947,025 | 72.90\% | 12.05\% | 23,118,239 | 17.91\% |

Table 1: Comparison of Broadband Take Rates by State


Figure 3: Indiana Broadband Classified Census Blocks


Figure 4: Michigan Broadband Classified Census Blocks


Figure 5: Aerial map of blocks with no access and occupied households.

## Conclusion

Using the approach described in this document, the estimate of the national broadband adoption rate where services are available stands at $72.9 \%$. The total number of homes with access to broadband is $105,947,025$. The number of homes that do not have access to broadband is $23,118,239$, which represents $17.91 \%$ of currently occupied homes (based on 2008 estimates). When compared to the current accepted industry estimates, the new approach results in a $10 \%$ increase in previously quoted adoption rates.

Based on these higher adoption rates, it is now possible to reevaluate additional broadband deployments or expansions to areas that might not have been considered financially sustainable previously, based on their low household density per square mile. Armed with more accurate data and the ability to identify exactly where unserved homes are located allows for more informed deployment strategies, and possibly more served households.

## Broadband Estimates Calculated with New, More Accurate Metrics

- National broadband adoption rate where services are available:


## 72.9\%

- Total number of homes with access to broadband:
105,947,025
- Number of homes without access to broadband:
23,118,239
- Percentage of homes without access to broadband:
17.91\%


## Purpose of Brief

This brief is not intended to go into high-level detail regarding speed, pricing or type of technology/topology deployed, nor is it intended to quantify the ranking of the US in worldwide broadband adoption rates. The Berkman Center recently published a report for the FCC with those details, available at http://www.fcc.gov/stage/pdf/Berkman Center Broadband Study 13Oct09.pdf

Rather, the primary focus of this brief is to identify the potential broadband market as a whole. Take rate statistics have a major impact in forecasting the financial viability and sustainability for private sector broadband networks. To date, most models assume a much lower adoption rate, which could make a difference in decisions to deploy broadband in the remaining unserved markets.

## About Brian Webster Consulting

Brian Webster Consulting and wirelessmapping.com were created to fill a need for affordable wireless engineering services for those unable to justify the cost of hiring and maintaining fulltime RF Engineering staff. Projects are approached with a creative eye, cost-conscious methodology and nearly 20 years of industry experience. The integration of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) helps present complex engineering and demographic information in clear, color diagrams that help the end user make actionable business decisions. These capabilities allow demographic data and market analysis information to be included as overlays to a client's engineering diagrams, along with raw data for input to financial models.

Brian has extensive experience in municipal wireless (Muni) network design. Most recently, he was an RF Engineering Manager at EarthLink and was responsible for designing the City of Philadelphia's municipal wireless network, one of the world's largest wireless mesh deployments. His responsibilities included reviewing and approving the work of EarthLink engineers and Motorola contractors.
http://www.wirelessmapping.com/

## About The Gadberry Group

The Gadberry Group provides location-based services and information data products for clients who demand the most current, accurate and precise household and population data for their site location analysis. MicroBuild $\circledR$, Gadberry's patent-pending product, is unique because only MicroBuild ${ }^{\circledR}$ uses consumer data at the rooftop level to deliver quarterly household and population counts beginning at the census block level. http://www.gadberry.net/

## Addendum - 12/18/09

After publishing this report additional data relative to the FCC Report was discovered:
First, the total households stated for each state were total housing units and not occupied housing units. The households passed figures were of occupied households. It is only proper to compare the same on the statewide basis. This would have actually increased the take rate had the occupied housing units totals been used. This error is corrected in the modified data table.

Second and most important, in the FCC Report to Congress "High Speed Services for Internet Access: Status as of June 30, 2008", the total number of residential lines reported included data from the mobile wireless broadband operators (Cellular and PCS carriers). In a separate report and order \#08-89 released by the FCC, it is stated that the wireless mobile broadband carriers had reported the number of data capable handsets, not the number of customers that actually subscribed to data or Internet plans. Upon other research through industry sources, it was discovered that less than $3 \%$ of the mobile broadband subscribers use said service as their sole connection to the Internet. The residential lines reported by the mobile wireless carriers represent $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 \%}$ of the total lines stated in the FCC report.

Knowing this information a decision was made to reduce the number of reported residential lines in each state by $14.5 \%$ and run new take rate calculations. There were no breakdowns of the mobile wireless subscribers by state; the reduction was applied evenly over all states. In the new table a lower total of residential high-speed lines is reported as compared to the original study data.

As an additional point of study, a confidence level for each census block was determined. On a state-by-state basis those census blocks that had only one or two respondent data points were separated and noted as low confidence. Using that method, separate high confidence columns have been added to the report. The high confidence columns are those census blocks with three or more separate consumer reports of broadband activity.

| $\stackrel{\text { mex }}{\stackrel{y}{5}}$ | Occupied Households July 2008 | 2008 Residential Lines minus mobile wireless | 2008 Statewide Res Take Rate | Households with BB Available | Households with BB Available High confidence | Take Rate Where BB is Available | Take Rate Where Available High Confidence | Number of Households Without Access to BB | Number of Households without Access to BB High Confidence | Households without BB Access | \% Households without BB Access High Confidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AK | 237,034 | 134,058 | 56.56\% | 175,379 | 146,376 | 76.44\% | 91.58\% | 61,655 | 90,658 | 26.01\% | 38.25\% |
| AL | 1,938,130 | 778,003 | 40.14\% | 1,633,780 | 1,221,322 | 47.62\% | 63.70\% | 304,350 | 716,808 | 15.70\% | 36.98\% |
| AR | 1,175,023 | 523,416 | 44.55\% | 927,961 | 660,774 | 56.40\% | 79.21\% | 247,062 | 514,249 | 21.03\% | 43.77\% |
| AZ | 2,336,959 | 1,346,840 | 57.63\% | 2,096,738 | 1,841,745 | 64.24\% | 73.13\% | 240,221 | 495,214 | 10.28\% | 21.19\% |
| CA | 12,764,753 | 8,897,540 | 69.70\% | 12,018,850 | 10,655,512 | 74.03\% | 83.50\% | 745,903 | 2,109,241 | 5.84\% | 16.52\% |
| CO | 1,959,789 | 1,124,634 | 57.39\% | 1,743,132 | 1,476,533 | 64.52\% | 76.17\% | 216,657 | 483,256 | 11.06\% | 24.66\% |
| CT | 1,405,509 | 971,107 | 69.09\% | 1,360,979 | 1,235,433 | 71.35\% | 78.60\% | 44,530 | 170,076 | 3.17\% | 12.10\% |
| DC | 256,110 | 163,737 | 63.93\% | 243,435 | 210,330 | 67.26\% | 77.85\% | 12,675 | 45,780 | 4.95\% | 17.88\% |
| DE | 343,554 | 205,331 | 59.77\% | 320,355 | 277,498 | 64.09\% | 73.99\% | 23,199 | 66,056 | 6.75\% | 19.23\% |
| FL | 7,628,143 | 4,638,800 | 60.81\% | 7,120,733 | 6,171,291 | 65.14\% | 75.17\% | 507,410 | 1,456,852 | 6.65\% | 19.10\% |
| GA | 3,652,043 | 2,053,952 | 56.24\% | 3,263,180 | 2,780,748 | 62.94\% | 73.86\% | 388,863 | 871,295 | 10.65\% | 23.86\% |
| HI | 436,273 | 323,598 | 74.17\% | 394,369 | 369,281 | 82.05\% | 87.63\% | 41,904 | 66,992 | 9.60\% | 15.36\% |
| IA | 1,247,553 | 540,611 | 43.33\% | 979,854 | 677,745 | 55.17\% | 79.77\% | 267,699 | 569,808 | 21.46\% | 45.67\% |
| ID | 562,067 | 293,422 | 52.20\% | 454,827 | 344,356 | 64.51\% | 85.21\% | 107,240 | 217,711 | 19.08\% | 38.73\% |
| IL | 4,851,822 | 2,968,402 | 61.18\% | 4,383,916 | 3,662,089 | 67.71\% | 81.06\% | 467,906 | 1,189,733 | 9.64\% | 24.52\% |
| IN | 2,543,090 | 1,090,007 | 42.86\% | 2,207,438 | 1,706,453 | 49.38\% | 63.88\% | 335,652 | 836,637 | 13.20\% | 32.90\% |
| KS | 1,118,858 | 617,146 | 55.16\% | 922,683 | 698,027 | 66.89\% | 88.41\% | 196,175 | 420,831 | 17.53\% | 37.61\% |
| KY | 1,762,321 | 796,995 | 45.22\% | 1,531,031 | 1,246,235 | 52.06\% | 63.95\% | 231,290 | 516,086 | 13.12\% | 29.28\% |
| LA | 1,792,856 | 950,165 | 53.00\% | 1,585,612 | 1,262,178 | 59.92\% | 75.28\% | 207,244 | 530,678 | 11.56\% | 29.60\% |
| MA | 2,615,877 | 1,663,869 | 63.61\% | 2,491,976 | 2,171,845 | 66.77\% | 76.61\% | 123,901 | 444,032 | 4.74\% | 16.97\% |
| MD | 2,202,016 | 1,510,967 | 68.62\% | 2,097,156 | 1,905,568 | 72.05\% | 79.29\% | 104,860 | 296,448 | 4.76\% | 13.46\% |
| ME | 555,653 | 264,587 | 47.62\% | 463,399 | 345,519 | 57.10\% | 76.58\% | 92,254 | 210,134 | 16.60\% | 37.82\% |
| MI | 4,009,186 | 1,934,713 | 48.26\% | 3,664,400 | 3,049,933 | 52.80\% | 63.43\% | 344,786 | 959,253 | 8.60\% | 23.93\% |
| MN | 2,096,616 | 1,101,994 | 52.56\% | 1,811,539 | 1,444,866 | 60.83\% | 76.27\% | 285,077 | 651,750 | 13.60\% | 31.09\% |
| MO | 2,387,051 | 1,279,144 | 53.59\% | 2,010,489 | 1,589,240 | 63.62\% | 80.49\% | 376,562 | 797,811 | 15.78\% | 33.42\% |
| MS | 1,165,764 | 372,090 | 31.92\% | 931,606 | 660,351 | 39.94\% | 56.35\% | 234,158 | 505,413 | 20.09\% | 43.35\% |
| MT | 394,719 | 169,747 | 43.00\% | 269,742 | 176,219 | 62.93\% | 96.33\% | 124,977 | 218,500 | 31.66\% | 55.36\% |
| NC | 3,756,683 | 1,949,588 | 51.90\% | 3,386,502 | 2,804,418 | 57.57\% | 69.52\% | 370,181 | 952,265 | 9.85\% | 25.35\% |
| ND | 275,615 | 124,482 | 45.17\% | 188,651 | 133,651 | 65.99\% | 93.14\% | 86,964 | 141,964 | 31.55\% | 51.51\% |
| NE | 730,577 | 368,611 | 50.45\% | 562,337 | 414,182 | 65.55\% | 89.00\% | 168,240 | 316,395 | 23.03\% | 43.31\% |
| NH | 523,124 | 310,645 | 59.38\% | 471,599 | 394,238 | 65.87\% | 78.80\% | 51,525 | 128,886 | 9.85\% | 24.64\% |
| NJ | 3,284,958 | 2,323,020 | 70.72\% | 3,133,802 | 2,716,460 | 74.13\% | 85.52\% | 151,156 | 568,498 | 4.60\% | 17.31\% |
| NM | 764,708 | 319,807 | 41.82\% | 564,196 | 414,933 | 56.68\% | 77.07\% | 200,512 | 349,775 | 26.22\% | 45.74\% |
| NV | 994,992 | 667,021 | 67.04\% | 915,596 | 831,605 | 72.85\% | 80.21\% | 79,396 | 163,387 | 7.98\% | 16.42\% |
| NY | 7,336,803 | 4,677,631 | 63.76\% | 6,988,378 | 6,332,820 | 66.93\% | 73.86\% | 348,425 | 1,003,983 | 4.75\% | 13.68\% |
| OH | 4,735,094 | 2,427,078 | 51.26\% | 4,391,866 | 3,778,138 | 55.26\% | 64.24\% | 343,228 | 956,956 | 7.25\% | 20.21\% |
| OK | 1,477,008 | 752,969 | 50.98\% | 1,154,522 | 890,260 | 65.22\% | 84.58\% | 322,486 | 586,748 | 21.83\% | 39.73\% |
| OR | 1,516,658 | 924,971 | 60.99\% | 1,331,670 | 1,082,391 | 69.46\% | 85.46\% | 184,988 | 434,267 | 12.20\% | 28.63\% |
| PA | 5,062,337 | 2,648,037 | 52.31\% | 4,563,812 | 3,758,275 | 58.02\% | 70.46\% | 498,525 | 1,304,062 | 9.85\% | 25.76\% |
| RI | 432,696 | 254,485 | 58.81\% | 411,553 | 345,384 | 61.84\% | 73.68\% | 21,143 | 87,312 | 4.89\% | 20.18\% |
| SC | 1,825,000 | 805,998 | 44.16\% | 1,578,466 | 1,232,290 | 51.06\% | 65.41\% | 246,534 | 592,710 | 13.51\% | 32.48\% |
| SD | 317,343 | 145,675 | 45.90\% | 227,352 | 156,285 | 64.07\% | 93.21\% | 89,991 | 161,058 | 28.36\% | 50.75\% |
| TN | 2,556,644 | 1,151,531 | 45.04\% | 2,327,985 | 1,927,177 | 49.46\% | 59.75\% | 228,659 | 629,467 | 8.94\% | 24.62\% |
| TX | 8,924,973 | 5,299,956 | 59.38\% | 7,845,124 | 6,478,688 | 67.56\% | 81.81\% | 1,079,849 | 2,446,285 | 12.10\% | 27.41\% |
| UT | 857,504 | 472,445 | 55.10\% | 774,276 | 665,293 | 61.02\% | 71.01\% | 83,228 | 192,211 | 9.71\% | 22.42\% |
| VA | 3,093,328 | 1,625,034 | 52.53\% | 2,815,194 | 2,459,003 | 57.72\% | 66.09\% | 278,134 | 634,325 | 8.99\% | 20.51\% |
| VT | 253,271 | 116,947 | 46.17\% | 205,400 | 147,573 | 56.94\% | 79.25\% | 47,871 | 105,698 | 18.90\% | 41.73\% |
| WA | 2,581,680 | 1,524,926 | 59.07\% | 2,344,684 | 1,981,047 | 65.04\% | 76.98\% | 236,996 | 600,633 | 9.18\% | 23.27\% |
| WI | 2,291,855 | 1,184,035 | 51.66\% | 2,041,611 | 1,626,833 | 58.00\% | 72.78\% | 250,244 | 665,022 | 10.92\% | 29.02\% |
| WV | 757,767 | 268,532 | 35.44\% | 471,193 | 354,317 | 56.99\% | 75.79\% | 286,574 | 403,450 | 37.82\% | 53.24\% |
| WY | 215,923 | 99,745 | 46.19\% | 146,697 | 92,839 | 67.99\% | 107.44\% | 69,226 | 123,084 | 32.06\% | 57.00\% |
| Totals | 118,005,310 | 67,158,042 | 56.91\% | 105,947,025 | 89,005,567 | 63.39\% | 75.45\% | 12,058,285 | 28,999,743 | 10.22\% | 24.57\% |

## Table 2 - Modified Comparison of Broadband Take Rates by State


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ High-Speed Services for Internet Access: Status as of June 30, 2008 www.fcc.gov/wcb/stats
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{http}: / / \mathrm{www} . c e n s u s . g o v /$ popest/housing/HU-EST2008-4.html

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ High-Speed Services for Internet Access: Status as of June 30, 2008 www.fcc.gov/wcb/stats

